```
GEORGE S. CARDONA
 1
   Acting United States Attorney
   LEON W. WEIDMAN
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Civil Division
   ROGER E. WEST (State Bar No. 58609)
   Assistant United States Attorney
   First Assistant Chief, Civil Division
5
   DAVID A. DeJUTE (State Bar No. 153527)
   Assistant United States Attorney
         Room 7516, Federal Building
6
         300 North Los Angeles Street
7
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-2461/2574
8
         Facsimile: (213) 894-7819
         Email: roger.west4@usdoj.gov
9
                david.dejute@usdoj.gov
10
   Attorneys for Defendants
11
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
                            SOUTHERN DIVISION
14
   CAPTAIN PAMELA BARNETT, et al., )
                                        No. SACV 09-00082 DOC (ANx)
15
         Plaintiffs,
16
                                        OPPOSITION TO AMENDED MOTION
              v.
                                        FOR RECONSIDERATION
17
   BARACK H. OBAMA, et al.
18
                                                December 7, 2009
                                        DATE:
        Defendants.
                                                8:30 a.m.
                                        TIME:
19
                                        CRTRM: Hon. David O. Carter
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby set forth their opposition to Plaintiffs' "Motion for Reconsideration of Order to Dismiss Under Rule 59E and Rule 60."

Rule 7-18 of the Local Rules of the Central District of California provides as follows:

"Motion for Reconsideration. A motion for reconsideration of the decision on any motion may be made only on the grounds of (a) a material

reconsideration of the decision on any motion may be made only on the grounds of (a) a material difference in fact or law from that presented to the Court before such decision that in the exercise of reasonable diligence could not have been known to the party moving for reconsideration at the time of such decision, or (b) the emergence of new material facts or a change of law occurring after the time of such decision, or (c) a manifest showing of a failure to consider material facts presented to the Court before such decision. No motion for reconsideration shall in any manner repeat any oral or written argument made in support of or in opposition to the original motion."

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration completely violates, both procedurally and substantively, the above-quoted Local Rule, and, accordingly, should be summarily denied. Respectfully submitted, DATED: November 19, 2009 GEORGE S. CARDONA Acting United States Attorney LEON WEIDMAN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division /s/ Roger E. West ROGER E. WEST Assistant United States Attorney First Assistant Chief, Civil Division /s/ David A. DeJute DAVID A. DeJUTE Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendants